

2,000 COPIES
THIS ISSUE.

The Logan Republican.

2,000 COPIES
THIS ISSUE.

VOL. I.

LOGAN, CACHE COUNTY, UTAH, FRIDAY, OCTOBER 24, 1902.

NO. 8.

THE CANDIDATES

On the Republican Ticket are all
Good, Safe Citizens.

ABOUT TURNER AND ROBERTS.

Some Facts Concerning these Men
That May be of Interest.

D. R. Roberts, our candidate for representative, was born in Logan March 30, 1871. His father Robert D. Roberts was one of the old hand cart veterans of 1866, and one of Cache Valley's pioneers, having settled in Logan in 1859, and is now and has ever been an honored and respected citizen. His mother Hannah Roberts came to Utah in 1864 with ox teams, her father's family settling in Smithfield. She has been a woman possessed of fine womanly qualities and was an ideal wife and mother. The early youth of Mr. Roberts was spent much as other boys nowadays. He assisted his father on the farm in summer and attended district school in winter. In those days canyon work was more common than now and its hardships were familiar to Mr. Roberts who worked there hauling lumber and getting out wood. In May 1890 he graduated from the Brigham Young College and returned again to farm work. In 1892 he worked at O. S. L. Ry. shops in Salt Lake. In Oct. 1892 he entered employ of Geo. A. Lowe in his implement house in Logan, where he remained until he went on a mission Dec. 14, 1892. Just previous to leaving on his mission Dec. 6, he was married to Tryphena Davis, daughter of D. D. Davis of Logan. Was in the mission field two years laboring in Indiana and Illinois, and acting all of the time in capacity of secretary of the conference. He performed a good mission. Shortly after his return he entered the employ of Geo. A. Lowe until Sept. '96 when he entered employ of Elva'or & Storage Co. where he remained until May '99 and in September following commenced the Grain, Seed & Produce business, adding later a line of implements and farm machinery. This business has gradually grown until now it means much to Cache county; and its markets extends from Canada to Mexico, and from Missouri River to Pacific Coast. In his business relation he has been brought in close contact with almost every farmer in the valley, with whom he has a record for fair dealing and honest treatment. He has done more, probably, than any other dealer in the valley towards opening up new markets for its farm products. We have today an unlimited market in California for our hog products; it is to Mr. Roberts that we are indebted for opening it up. This market alone has brought to the farmers of the valley during the last three years a net revenue of over \$80,000.

Dave is wholly a type of Utah's home product, and the success he has achieved in life has been due intirely to his own efforts and against great odds. He is just now entering upon his career in life and certainly has a bright and promising future. As a representative he will do honor to himself and be a credit to his county.

Fred Turner, candidate for sheriff, was born in London, England, August 17th, 1847; came to the United States, March, 1857; lived in different parts of the Eastern States until 1861. He and his parents were some of the first settlers of Hyde Park. In early life he was a freighter at mines and other places, and was subjected to hardships; was a member of the Corps of Minute Men under Thos. E. Ricks and Bp. Marlon Lewis; moved from Hyde Park to Logan in 1880. Has occupied several positions in business. The name and man is already well known in this state and county.

F. TURNER'S 'X-RAYS'

ON DISGRUNTLED DEMOCRACY OF CACHE COUNTY AND
ALLEGED CHURCH INFLUENCE.

Fred Turner with his characteristic wink of mystery is telling what wonders he knows and what he can do to hurt the Democratic party in Cache county. What does Fred want to induce him to X-ray his alleged mystery? Fred ought to go off and tell his troubles to a ward policeman, and stop misrepresenting everybody and everything. — Clipping from Logan Journal, Oct 20th.

Here you have it, in part, gentleman, gratis.

The outcome of the election held in this county four years ago, viz. 1898, is perhaps remembered by many of the citizens of this state and county, when a Republican average majority of 137 was given against a Democratic majority two years previous (in 1896) of 1,184 and the election in 1900 a Democratic average majority was again given of 346. As the minute details of the extreme reversion of sentiment in the election of 1898 has been kept from the people, for their benefit I herewith state the facts under oath, that the people may pass their verdict upon points contained therein.

Fred Turner of Logan City, being duly sworn, deposes and says: That upon the regular call of the Democratic county convention made by the county chairman in the fall of 1898, regular primaries were held in their various precincts, whereat duly elected delegates were chosen to attend said convention. At this convention (as is usual at all conventions) two distinct factions were visible, viz.: The straight up Democrats, who were headed by Thoreson, Farr, Turner, Woolf, et al. faction, while the disgruntled faction was headed by the chairman, a majority of the executive committee of the county and others. The former faction, being in the majority, prevailed; hence the county nominations were made along those lines. Such intense bitterness was exhibited by the defeated faction that a third ticket was talked of being put in the field. Said deponent being a member of the state central committee, phoned for the State Chairman, James H. Moyle, to come up and adjust matters if possible. Three meetings were held upon his arrival. Discussions pro and con were made by parties representing both sides. Said deponent, Fred Turner, who had received the nomination for sheriff, arose and made the following statement: "Gentlemen, I have received the nomination for sheriff on your Democratic ticket. In the interest of peace and harmony, I will resign said nomination and will sign a document, right here and now, to this effect. You can place in my stead Geo. F. Rigby, Edward Edwards, or any other person you choose; but I beg of you not to turn this office over to the Republican party." Immediately parties arose from both sides of the house refusing to let me resign, stating that I was not the objectionable candidate. State Chairman Moyle stated that Sheriff Turner had acted magnanimously and as far as the sheriff's office was concerned it should remain settled. The meeting adjourned without any definite understanding being reached as to the changing of any candidates. The disgruntled faction held one or more meetings by themselves, which culminated in what is known as a "Sample Ticket" being made. Below are true copies, and to induce individuals in various parts of the county to press

this ticket to a successful issue, promises were made to give office in the future for loyalty to this disreputable course. One man in particular was to receive the county commissionership two years thence for faithful services rendered.

Deponent further states that he paid \$25 as campaign assessment before the meetings with Chairman Moyle and after the meetings an additional \$25, making in all \$50.

First is the regular ticket as nominated at the county convention; Second is the revised or "Sample Ticket" made by the disgruntled faction, giving majorities for each candidate. It can readily be seen which was successful at the polls, all of their ticket being elected except Aaron Farr, Jr., Walter Jones and S. W. Hendricks, who were successful but by few votes. It can also be observed that notwithstanding Fred Turner tendered his resignation, he too was defeated.

REGULAR CONVENTION TICKET.	"SAMPLE TICKET"
State Senator, Maj. Joseph Monson.	State Senator, Maj. Jos. Howell.
Representatives, I. C. Thoreson.	Representative, Albert Law.
Aaron Farr, Jr. 37	Mrs. M. Hendricks.
Moreno Price.	Chas. Harris.
Commissioner, Martin Woolf.	Commissioner, H. Liffordist.
Thos. Wolford.	Thos. Miller.
Seth A. Lanston	Geo. Metcalf.
County Clerk, John Owen.	John Owen.
Sheriff, Fred Turner.	Emer Crockett.
Recorder, Bessie Morehead.	Recorder, Bessie Morehead.
Attorney, Frank K. Nebeker.	Attorney, F. K. Nebeker.
Assessor, Walter Jones.	Assessor, Geo. Godfrey.
Treasurer, Sam Hendricks.	Treasurer, H. T. Merrill.
Surveyor, Geo. W. Baker.	Surveyor, Geo. Baker.

Signed: FRED TURNER.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 22nd day of October, 1902.

[L. S.] J. C. WALTERS,
Notary Public.

To you disgruntled Democrats referred to in the statement. These statements are either true or false. If true, you can and shall carry all the odium they imply. If they are false, I am guilty of perjury. Your recourse before the law is clear. I am ready, gentlemen, proceed for the investigation.

After the results of the election were known, the straight-up Democrats were dumbfounded. A rumor was started that church officials had written letters to certain bishops to change the election, and this was never denied by those who were familiar with the nefarious schemes, thus allowing the church to carry the odium for which they themselves were responsible, and thereby not only wresting from the people their political rights, but impairing confidence in their spiritual leaders.

To the straight-up Democrats—you who have in the past furnished the sinews of war to form and maintain a political party in this county, that was as impregnable as the fortresses of Gibraltar, tell me what do you think of a class of men that will arrogate to themselves the right to say "that your regular conventional ticket named by your regular delegates shall not be elected;" but they themselves will act as a tribunal to sit and formulate a ticket that shall and did defeat yours, upon which were only four Democrats—all the balance Republicans. Did you ever hear of such a damnable piece of treachery performed by men who were appointed to act as your custodians in a political sense—not only causing such a reversion in this county, but their traitorous acts

led up to the depriving this State of a U. S. Senator in A. W. McCune. For let it be remembered he only lacked but one vote in the legislature to elect him, but owing to this condition of affairs being brought about in this county, the whole state was deprived of a representation in the Senate for two years, and brought disgrace upon your party therein. And now these men have the effrontery to ask you to elect them as Legislators, a county commissioner and a county sheriff for their "fidelity" to you. Democrats it is up to you to decide the matter. Will you turn and kiss the hand that has smitten you? What title will you give men who go over under cover to the opposite side, aid and assist them without the Republicans asking for it, and bringing such a disaster all over the State? Reflect over this; compare the condition of the Democratic party of six years ago in this county when you had a majority of 1184 with the last election, in 1900, when you had but 346. I say such men are traitors and should be branded as such, and the finger of scorn should be pointed at them everywhere. Even in the legislature, if they succeed in the election and procure seats therein, they should always be remembered as the men that brought disgrace to their party in a state capacity in the year of our Lord 1898. And again, as each one of them knew of the old popular clamor "church influence" being raised, why did they not like men state out boldly in defense of their church the cause that led up to this political slaughter? But no! With a passing wink at each other as they met they said: "Let it go boys, it is all right." For this I brand them as cowards and traitors to the church they belong to. For over one year I was ignorant of the whole affair, but after a thorough investigation found the facts as above stated and can prove every allegation set forth. I then and there resolved that at the proper time I would unearth these proceedings to the honest Democrats of the county and show to them what kind of leaders they have. Can you follow such men with confidence? Are they worthy of your suffrage? Knowing what they have done, have you any assurance that what was once done will not be repeated?

Undoubtedly some of you would like to know the exact names of all concerned, but remember, they have families bearing the same name. But also remember there is a "roll of honor" of all such and each one shall feel the heavy hand of retribution following them at the proper time. Straight-up Democrats, don't regret that I am not with you. I expect to be within hearing of you and shall take great delight in guarding the political treasury for you and in years to come when recants bob up for office I shall quickly communicate it to you. I have received the nomination for sheriff on the Republican ticket. The office alone I do not care the snap of my finger for, now that conditions have come so favorably for me to expose that which has been on my mind for years. In conclusion will add that, while differing somewhat in political view, I am still your friend. If I had not been I would not certainly have placed myself in the position I have at this writing. You that think I changed just for office, please do not vote for me. By the time this reaches some of you, it may be rumored that I am arrested for perjury. Herefore I have taken care of myself and can do so in this emergency. This language is rather strong but circumstances demand it after being defiantly challenged.

In support of honor and right I subscribe myself
FRED TURNER.

TRUE SITUATION

Hon. Leslie M. Shaw, Secretary
of the Treasury Talks

OF THE POLITICAL SITUATION

And says Some very trite things,
as is Found Below.

Hon. Leslie M. Shaw, secretary of the treasury, in a recent speech at Indianapolis, spoke more truth in regard to the political situation of today than most other speakers whom we have read after. Among other things, he says:

"Both parties complain this year of great lethargy and apathy on the part of the people. The reason is simple. There is no issue between the parties. The Republican party presents its time-honored, time-tested principles of sound money, stable currency—in other words, good, reliable business tools and equipments, implements of trade—and its equally time-honored and time-tested principle of protection to American labor and a conservative, stable, liberal policy toward the people inhabiting our insular possessions. Our political opponents present nothing in opposition.

"The only criticism I have to offer tonight of our political opponents is this: They seem to be more anxious to discover an issue on which they may win a campaign that they have to formulate a policy for wise government after they have won their campaign. It is due an intelligent people that there shall be presented for their consideration at the polls affirmative principles, progressive policies, progressive measures.

"I regret the issue is not between the personnel of officer-holders, but between a party with a purpose, an aim, a hope and a party that has nothing to propose, no issue to present, and no one leader in whom more than 33-1-3 per cent of their adherents have any confidence whatsoever."

In fact the Democratic party today has no issue—that is, it is not advocating any proposition peculiar to itself and designed as being the principle on which the coming battle is to be fought. In the first place it is very hard to tell just what is the Democratic party. Is it that faction headed by Grover Cleveland, which claims that "the tariff" is the issue? Is it that faction headed by W. J. Bryan which says "trusts" is now the issue, with silver a close second? Is it that faction that says the Philippine question is the real issue?

As Mr. Shaw says, "the Democrats seem to be anxious to discover an issue on which they can win the present campaign than they have to formulate a policy for wise government." Grant for the sake of argument that "trusts" is the issue, as the largest number say—is that really a principle, or an issue with the Democratic party? Inasmuch as the party says "protective tariff" is the cause of "trusts" and that "free trade" is the only remedy, it immediately confounds itself with the tariff issue and is not an issue within itself. At the same time, the Republican party proposes to legislate against trusts, so pray tell where there is any issue between the two parties on this score? The Democratic party doesn't favor trusts, neither does the Republican party, consequently "trust" is not an issue.

And now what is there left. Bryan says silver, Cleveland says gold—so the issue can not be the money question. The party is not united on that. The tariff question is the only thing left, and it is generally conceded that all Democrats want free trade. But it is equally true that but a small portion want to make the tariff the issue of the campaign. Free trade doesn't sound well, consequently the party is trying to make "Trusts" take its place and fool the people. The people of Cache will not be fooled by any such bluff. A vote for Democratic legislative nominees means a vote for free trade, and a vote for Democratic nominees on the county ticket means a vote to help sustain the party of free trade and disruption.